

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,151	02/18/2005	Uwe Falk	2002DE429	5266
25255 7590 11/13/2007 CLARIANT CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			SELLERS, ROBERT E	
4000 MONRO CHARLOTTE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTI	3, 110 20203		1796	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/525,151	FALK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Sellers	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 October 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	em consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number:

10/525,151 Art Unit: 1796

Claim 2 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. The election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed October 25, 2007.

It would be preferable that the substituent "C" depicted in the right terminal position in formula (I) in the specification on page 3, lines 8-10 and in claim 1 be changed to R⁵ since it could be misinterpreted as a carbon atom instead of the denoted acid group or hydrogen atom.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent No. 61-134335.

The Derwent abstract for the Japanese patent discloses an alkylene oxide adduct prepared by reacting an active hydrogen-containing compound (A) such as allyl alcohol with an alkylene oxide such as ethylene oxide or phenyl glycidyl ether.

The claimed combination of a structure quantified by "k" derived from a glycidyl ether and that with a value of "m" obtained from an alkylene oxide in formula (I) is not recited.

10/525,151 Art Unit: 1796

It would have been obvious to produce the alkylene oxide adduct of the Japanese patent with a mixture of alkylene oxides such as the listed ethylene oxide and phenyl glycidyl ether in order to diversify the solubility by virtue of the presence of the phenyl group. Such an alkylene oxide adduct inherently yields a terminal hydroxyl group within the claimed "C" group.

Furthermore, according to MPEP § 2144.06 (Art Recognized Equivalence for the Same Purpose: Combining Equivalents Known for the Same Purpose), it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two alkylene oxide monomers useful for the same purpose of polymerizing an alkylene oxide adduct, in order to form another alkylene oxide adduct (*In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072, CCPA 1980; *In re Crockett*, 126 USPQ 186, CCPA 1960 and *Ex parte Quadranti*, 25 USPQ2d 1071, Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences 1992).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert Sellers/

Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Division 1796